UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,119	12/17/2003	Hiroshi Kuroda	XA-10006	5995	
181 MILES & STC	7590 03/06/2007	EXAMINER			
MILES & STOCKBRIDGE PC 1751 PINNACLE DRIVE SUITE 500 MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833			NGUYEN, DILINH P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA	1 22102-3633		2814		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/737,119	KURODA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DiLinh Nguyen	2814	

	DiLinn Nguyen	2014					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply ma	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	ecause ,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·	Alexander Clark announced as	+lina tha				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) \(\bar{\text{L}} \) wided below or appended.	iii be entered and an	ехріапацоп от				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,6-12</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a N	lotice of Appeal will n	ot be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly added limitations, such as: wherein said microcomputer chip includes a first plurality of bonding terminals on an upper surface thereof, and said memory chip includes a second plurality of bonding terminals on an upper surface thereof, wherein said bonding terminals of said memory chip are disposed along the second side of said chip, and said bonding terminals of said microcomputer chip adjacent to said second side of said memory chip, would require further consideration and/or search.

HOAI PHAM